**STIPULATION** WHEREAS, defendants' counsel listed Dr. Mesgna Medhane in their initial expert disclosure dated February 27, 2004; WHEREAS, the parties met and conferred regarding contemplated motions in limine to exclude experts from trial and strike expert reports; WHEREAS, during the meet and confer, counsel for defendants agreed that defendants would not call Dr. Medhane at trial and that Dr. Medhane's expert report would be stricken; /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// 

1	IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED BY PLAINTIFF AND	
3	DEFENDANTS through their respective counsel that:	
4	1. Defendants are precluded from calling Dr. Mesgna Medhane at trial	
5	for any purpose;	
6	• •	hane's expert report is hereby stricken; and
7	2. Dr. Mesgna Med	mane's expert report is nereby stricken, and
8	3. This stipulation r	may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile.
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
11		Respectfully submitted,
12	D. 4. 1. C	DAHANI & ACCOCIATEC
13	Dated: September <u>29</u> , 2004	BAHAN & ASSOCIATES THE LAW OFFICES OF RANDY RENICK
14		By: Fire Bates
15		Puja Batra
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff
17	Dated: September, 2004	LAW OFFICES OF JOSEPH M.
18		LOVRETOVICH
19		By:
20 21		Joseph M. Lovretovich Attorneys for Defendants
22		ADDED
23		<u>ORDER</u>
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: October 15 2004	Mugaret M. Morrow
26	<b>'</b>	HON. MARGARET M. MORROW UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27		323 (3.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3
20		2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

09/29/2004 12:12 Case 2:03-cV-02182-MMM-13030

## IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED BY PLAINTIFF AND

**DEFENDANTS** through their respective counsel that:

- 1. Defendants are precluded from calling Dr. Mesgna Medhane at trial for any purpose;
  - 2. Dr. Mesgna Medhane's expert report is hereby stricken; and
  - 3. This stipulation may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile.

### IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September \_\_, 2004 BAHAN & ASSOCIATES
THE LAW OFFICES OF RANDY RENICK

By:\_\_\_\_\_\_Puja Batra

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: September 27,2004 LAW OFFICES OF JOSEPH M. LOVRETOVICH

By: Joseph M. Lovretovich

Attorneys for Defendants

# **ORDER**

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: HON. MARGARET M,

HON MARGARET M, MORROW UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

FANNED

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18, years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 140 S. Lake Avenue, Suite 230, Pasadena, California 91101.

On September 29, 2004, I served the foregoing document described as <u>STIPULATION</u> <u>AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING DR. MESGNA MEDHANE FROM TESTIFYING AS A DEFENSE EXPERT AND STRIKING HIS EXPERT REPORT on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:</u>

Joseph M. Lovretovich Law Offices of Joseph M. Lovretovich 5850 Canoga Avenue, Suite 315 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

(By Mail) Х I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice I place all envelopes to be mailed in a location in my office specifically designated for mail. The mail then would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Pasadena, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. Executed on September 29, 2004. (By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee. Executed on September 29, 2004. (Federal Court) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of Х America that the above is true and correct.

NATHAN HOWE